Application No.: 09/777,484

Applicant: Griffin, et. al. Filed: February 5, 2001

Page 2

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: SCRIP1200-1

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the specification as follows.

At page 8, line 1, please delete "Figure 7A" and insert therefore -- Figure 6A--.

REMARKS

A. Regarding the Amendments

The specification has been amended merely to correct a typographical error regarding reference to a figure. Thus, the amendment introduces no new matter.

B. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph (Enablement)

The rejection of claims 1-16 and 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, is respectfully traversed. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion that the specification allegedly does not teach one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

It is well-established that the claims of a patent application are presumptively enabled when the application is filed. Thus, the burden of demonstrating that the entire breadth and scope of the claims is allegedly not enabled falls on the Examiner. In this case, the Examiner has provided no evidence to call into question the enablement of the claims. Accordingly, for all of the following reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has not met the burden of demonstrating non-enablement.